

ITEP-M10/92
July 1992

PAIR CORRELATOR IN THE ITZYKSON-ZUBER INTEGRAL

A. Morozov

ITEP, 117259, Moscow, Russia

Abstract

An explicit expression is suggested for the average $\langle U_{ij}U_{kl}^\dagger \rangle$ over the unitary group $SU(N)$ with the Itzykson-Zuber measure $[dU] \exp \text{tr} \Phi U \Psi U^\dagger$

1 The main result

The remarkable fact that the integral

$$\langle 1 \rangle \equiv \int [dU] e^{\text{tr} \Phi U \Psi U^\dagger} \quad (1)$$

over the unitary group $SU(N)$ with the Haar measure $[dU]$ can be calculated explicitly is extensively used in the theory of matrix models. This integral can be represented in terms of the eigenvalues of matrices ϕ and ψ :

$$\langle 1 \rangle = V_N \frac{\det e^{\phi_i \psi_j}}{\Delta(\phi) \Delta(\psi)} = c_N \sum_P (-)^P \frac{e^{\sum_k \phi_k \psi_{P(k)}}}{\Delta(\phi) \Delta(\psi)}, \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta(\phi) \equiv \prod_{i < j}^N (\phi_i - \phi_j) \equiv \Phi_{1\dots N}$, P are arbitrary permutations of N elements and V_N is the volume of the unitary group. This result was first obtained independently in [1] and [2]. It can be also interpreted in terms of the orbit integrals on the lines of [3] and in terms of the Duistermaat-Heckman (DH) theory (see [4]).

In this letter the expression for the first non-trivial generalization of 2 is suggested: that for the average $\langle U_{ij}U_{kl}^\dagger \rangle$. This quantity is of special interest for the study of observables in the recently proposed Kazakov-Migdal model of “induced QCD” [5]: see [4] for details. According to [4] in the basis where ϕ and ψ are diagonal, the only non-vanishing pair correlators are:

$$\langle |U_{ij}|^2 \rangle \equiv \int [dU] |U_{ij}|^2 e^{\sum_{kl} \phi_k |U_{kl}|^2 \psi_l} \equiv V_N \sum_P (-)^P \frac{e^{\sum_k \phi_k \psi_{P(k)}}}{\Delta(\phi) \Delta(\psi)} A_{ij}^{(P)}[\phi, \psi]. \quad (3)$$

Coefficients $A_{ij}^{(P)}$ satisfy the obvious symmetry relation

$$A_{ij}^{(P)}[\phi, \psi] = A_{ji}^{(P^{-1})}[\psi, \phi]. \quad (4)$$

The answer for these quantities is most conveniently representable in the form of a “generating function”:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{ij}^N \alpha_i A_{ij}^{(P)} \beta_j = \\ & = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (-)^n \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{n+1}} \frac{\sum_k (-)^k \alpha_{i_k} \Phi_{i_1 \dots \hat{i}_k \dots i_{n+1}}}{\Phi_{i_1 \dots i_{n+1}}} \frac{\sum_l (-)^l \beta_{P(i_l)} \Psi_{P(i_1) \dots P(i_l) \dots P(i_{n+1})}}{\Psi_{P(i_1) \dots P(i_{n+1})}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Here $\Phi_{i_1 \dots i_p} \equiv \prod_{i_a < i_b} (\phi_{i_a} - \phi_{i_b})$, while Φ for $p = 0$ and $p = 1$ are defined to be equal to unity; Ψ are defined in the same way. Hat over the index means that it is omitted.

To clarify the rather sophisticated notation, it is instructive to look at somewhat more explicit expression for the first terms with $n = 0, 1, 2$ in (6):

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \beta_{P(i)} - \sum_{i < j}^N \frac{(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(\beta_{P(i)} - \beta_{P(j)})}{\Phi_{ij} \Psi_{P(i)P(j)}} + \\ & + \sum_{i < j < k}^N \frac{(\alpha_i \Phi_{jk} - \alpha_j \Phi_{ik} + \alpha_k \Phi_{ij})(\beta_{P(i)} \Psi_{P(j)P(k)} - \beta_{P(j)} \Psi_{P(i)P(k)} + \beta_{P(k)} \Psi_{P(i)P(j)})}{\Phi_{ijk} \Psi_{P(i)P(j)P(k)}} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij}^{(P)} &= \delta_{jP(i)} \left(1 - \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{1}{\phi_i - \phi_k} \frac{1}{\psi_{P(i)} - \psi_{P(k)}} + \right. \\ & + \sum_{k \neq l \neq i} \frac{1}{(\phi_i - \phi_k)(\phi_i - \phi_l)} \frac{1}{(\psi_{P(i)} - \psi_{P(k)})(\psi_{P(i)} - \psi_{P(l)})} - \dots \Big) + \\ & (1 - \delta_{jP(i)}) \left(- \frac{1}{\phi_i - \phi_{P^{-1}(j)}} \frac{1}{\psi_j - \psi_{P(i)}} + \right. \\ & + \sum_{l \neq P^{-1}(j) \neq i} \frac{1}{(\phi_i - \phi_{P^{-1}(j)})(\phi_i - \phi_l)} \frac{1}{(\psi_j - \psi_{P(i)})(\psi_j - \psi_{P(l)})} - \dots \Big) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

2 Example of N=2

In the case of $N = 2$ we get from (6) and (8):

$$< |U_{11}|^2 > = < |U_{22}|^2 > = V_2 \left(\frac{e^{\phi_1 \psi_1 + \phi_2 \psi_2}}{\Phi_{12} \Psi_{12}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\Phi_{12} \Psi_{12}} \right) + \frac{e^{\phi_1 \psi_2 + \phi_2 \psi_1}}{(\Phi_{12} \Psi_{12})^2} \right)$$

$$\langle |U_{12}|^2 \rangle = \langle |U_{21}|^2 \rangle = V_2 \left(\frac{e^{\phi_1\psi_1+\phi_2\psi_2}}{(\Phi_{12}\Psi_{12})^2} - \frac{e^{\phi_1\psi_2+\phi_2\psi_1}}{\Phi_{12}\Psi_{12}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Psi_{12}} \right) \right) \quad (8)$$

These formulas can be easily *derived* from the two identities:

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \langle |U_{ij}|^2 \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle |U_{ij}|^2 \rangle, \quad (9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle |U_{ij}|^2 \rangle \psi_j &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_i} \langle 1 \rangle = \\ V_N \sum_P (-)^P \frac{e^{\sum_k \phi_k \psi_{P(k)}}}{\Delta(\phi)\Delta(\psi)} \left(\psi_{P(i)} - \sum_k \frac{1}{\phi_i - \phi_k} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

(there is of course a similar formula for ψ_j -derivative of $\langle 1 \rangle$). These identities, while valid for any N , are sufficient for the non-ambiguous evaluation of $\langle |U_{ij}|^2 \rangle$ only if $N = 2$. Still, one of the arguments in favour of (6) is that it satisfies both (9) and (10), because

$$\sum_k (-)^{k-1} \Phi_{i_1 \dots \hat{i}_k \dots i_{n+1}} = \delta_{n,0} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\sum_k (-)^{k-1} \phi_{i_k} \Phi_{i_1 \dots \hat{i}_k \dots i_{n+1}} = \phi_{i_1} \delta_{n,0} + \Phi_{i_1 i_2} \delta_{n,1}. \quad (12)$$

3 Example of N=3

From (6) and (8) we obtain for $N = 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle |U_{11}|^2 \rangle &= \frac{V_3}{\Delta(\phi)\Delta(\psi)} \left\{ e^{\phi_1\psi_1+\phi_2\psi_2+\phi_3\psi_3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Psi_{12}} - \frac{1}{\Phi_{13}\Psi_{13}} + \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Phi_{13}\Psi_{12}\Psi_{13}} \right) + \right. \\ &+ e^{\phi_1\psi_1+\phi_2\psi_3+\phi_3\psi_2} \left(-1 + \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Psi_{13}} + \frac{1}{\Phi_{13}\Psi_{12}} - \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Phi_{13}\Psi_{12}\Psi_{13}} \right) + \\ &+ e^{\phi_1\psi_2+\phi_2\psi_1+\phi_3\psi_3} \left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Psi_{12}} - \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Phi_{13}\Psi_{12}\Psi_{13}} \right) + \\ &+ e^{\phi_1\psi_2+\phi_2\psi_3+\phi_3\psi_1} \left(-\frac{1}{\Phi_{13}\Psi_{12}} + \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Phi_{13}\Psi_{12}\Psi_{13}} \right) + \\ &+ e^{\phi_1\psi_3+\phi_2\psi_2+\phi_3\psi_1} \left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{13}\Psi_{13}} - \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Phi_{13}\Psi_{12}\Psi_{13}} \right) + \\ &\left. + e^{\phi_1\psi_3+\phi_2\psi_1+\phi_3\psi_2} \left(-\frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Psi_{13}} + \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Phi_{13}\Psi_{12}\Psi_{13}} \right) \right\} \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
< |U_{12}|^2 > = \frac{V_3}{\Delta(\phi)\Delta(\psi)} \Big\{ & e^{\phi_1\psi_1+\phi_2\psi_2+\phi_3\psi_3} \left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Psi_{12}} - \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Phi_{13}\Psi_{12}\Psi_{23}} \right) + \\
& + e^{\phi_1\psi_1+\phi_2\psi_3+\phi_3\psi_2} \left(-\frac{1}{\Phi_{13}\Psi_{12}} + \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Phi_{13}\Psi_{12}\Psi_{23}} \right) + \\
& + e^{\phi_1\psi_2+\phi_2\psi_1+\phi_3\psi_3} \left(-1 - \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Psi_{12}} + \frac{1}{\Phi_{13}\Psi_{23}} + \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Phi_{13}\Psi_{12}\Psi_{23}} \right) + \\
& + e^{\phi_1\psi_2+\phi_2\psi_3+\phi_3\psi_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Psi_{23}} + \frac{1}{\Phi_{13}\Psi_{12}} - \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Phi_{13}\Psi_{13}\Psi_{23}} \right) + \\
& + e^{\phi_1\psi_3+\phi_2\psi_2+\phi_3\psi_1} \left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Psi_{23}} + \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Phi_{13}\Psi_{12}\Psi_{23}} \right) + \\
& + e^{\phi_1\psi_3+\phi_2\psi_1+\phi_3\psi_2} \left(-\frac{1}{\Phi_{13}\Phi_{23}} - \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Phi_{13}\Psi_{12}\Psi_{23}} \right) \Big\} \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
< |U_{13}|^2 > = \frac{V_3}{\Delta(\phi)\Delta(\psi)} \Big\{ & e^{\phi_1\psi_1+\phi_2\psi_2+\phi_3\psi_3} \left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{13}\Psi_{13}} + \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Phi_{13}\Psi_{13}\Psi_{23}} \right) + \\
& + e^{\phi_1\psi_1+\phi_2\psi_3+\phi_3\psi_2} \left(-\frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Psi_{13}} - \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Phi_{13}\Psi_{13}\Psi_{23}} \right) + \\
& + e^{\phi_1\psi_2+\phi_2\psi_1+\phi_3\psi_3} \left(-\frac{1}{\Phi_{13}\Psi_{23}} - \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Phi_{13}\Psi_{13}\Psi_{23}} \right) + \\
& + e^{\phi_1\psi_2+\phi_2\psi_3+\phi_3\psi_1} \left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Psi_{23}} + \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Phi_{13}\Psi_{13}\Psi_{23}} \right) + \\
& + e^{\phi_1\psi_3+\phi_2\psi_2+\phi_3\psi_1} \left(-1 - \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Psi_{23}} - \frac{1}{\Phi_{13}\Psi_{13}} - \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Phi_{13}\Psi_{13}\Psi_{23}} \right) + \\
& + e^{\phi_1\psi_3+\phi_2\psi_1+\phi_3\psi_2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Psi_{13}} + \frac{1}{\Phi_{13}\Psi_{23}} + \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}\Phi_{13}\Psi_{13}\Psi_{23}} \right) \Big\}. \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

Other $< |U_{ij}|^2 >$ are given by similar expressions.

In order to found these formulas in the case of $N = 3$ it is enough to supplement (9) and (10) by the requirement that $< |U_{ij}|^2 >$ remain finite whenever any pair of ϕ - or ψ -eigenvalues coincide. In fact it appears sufficient to consider only two cases: $\psi_2 = \psi_3$ and $\phi_1 = \phi_2$. After (13-15) are derived, eq.(6) arises as a straightforward generalization for the case of arbitrary N (the form of this expression is again very much restricted by the finiteness condition).

4 Conclusion and Acknowledgements

Straightforward derivation can be obtained either in the orbit- or in the DH-like technique. I know from S.Shatashvili that he in fact found an explicit derivation of $< U_{ij}U_{kl}^\dagger >$ in the orbit approach [6], giving it in the elegant form of an integral over Gelfand-Tseytlin

parameters, which seems to reproduce (6) after integration. Representation of this kind should be especially convenient for the study of the large- N limit. (I understand also that A.Migdal found a formula in the large- N approximation by a more straightforward method.) Representation like (6) should be especially convenient for analysis in the DH-framework, as suggested in [4]. Anyhow, it is now clear that the formulas for pair correlators appear to be rather simple, and this supports the suggestion of ref.[4] that all the correlators with Itzykson-Zuber measure can be exactly calculable (and this in fact can be done by the method of [6], though the problem of evaluation of the generating functional $\langle \exp A_{ij} |U_{ij}|^2 \rangle$ remains to be resolved).

I am indebted to I.Kogan, A.Niemi, G.Semenoff, S.Shatashvili and N.Weiss for stimulating discussions. I also acknowledge the hospitality of the Physical Departement of UBC where the main part of this work was done and the Mathematical Departement of Columbia University where it was completed.

References

- [1] Kharish-Chandra, Amer.J.Math. 79 (1957) 87
- [2] C.Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, J.Math.Phys. 21 (1980) 411
- [3] A.Alekseev, L.Faddeev and S.Shatashvili, J.of Phys.and Geom. (1990)
- [4] I.Kogan, G.Semenoff, N.Weiss et al., *Area Law and Continuum Limit in "Induced QCD"*, preprint UBCTP-92-022, ITEP-M6/92, July, 1992
- [5] V.Kazakov and A.Migdal, *Induced QCD at Large N*, preprint LPTENS-92/15, PUPT-1322, June, 1992
- [6] S.Shatashvili, preprint IASSNS-HEP-92/61